arXiv:1205.2280v1 [math.DS] 10 May 2012

ON WEAK PRODUCT RECURRENCE AND SYNCHRONIZATION OF RETURN TIMES

PIOTR OPROCHA AND GUOHUA ZHANG*

Abstract. The paper is devoted to study of product recurrence. First, we prove that notions of $\mathscr{F}_{ps} - PR$ and $\mathscr{F}_{pubd} - PR$ are exactly the same as product recurrence, completing that way results of [P. Dong, S. Shao and X. Ye, *Product recurrent properties, disjointness and weak disjointness*, Israel J. Math.]. We also study the structure of the set of return times of weakly mixing sets. As a consequence, we obtain a new sufficient conditions for $\mathscr{F}_s - PR$ and also find a short proof that weakly mixing systems are disjoint with all minimal distal systems (in particular, our proof does not involve Furstenberg's structure theorem of minimal distal systems). Finally, we prove that on topological graphs weakly mixing sets of order 2 can be approximated arbitrarily close by a weakly mixing set of all orders.

1. Introduction

There are two important characterizations related to distality, both observed by Furstenberg more than 30 years ago. If a point is distal, then it is recurrent in pair with any recurrent point in any dynamical system [13] and if a minimal dynamical system is distal then it is disjoint with any weakly mixing system [12]. It is worth emphasizing that later, full characterization of flows disjoint with all distal flows was provided by Petersen in [25]. While proofs of both above mentioned characterizations are not that long, their proofs highly rely on another, even more important and highly nontrivial results. Namely, first of them uses Hindman's theorem on finite partitions of IP-sets, while second can be obtained as a consequence of algebraic characterization of distal flows, proved by Furstenberg in [11].

The above characterizations on synchronization of return times of a point with return times of distal points gave motivation to two directions of research. First of them asks about disjointness between specified classes of systems and second asks about synchronization of return times between different types of recurrent points. Both these questions lead to partial classifications and hard open problems [9]. It is also worth emphasizing that studies on the above topics were very influential and important for topics which at the first sight do not seem to be related very much. For example, Blanchard characterized in [6] systems disjoint with flows with zero entropy giving that way a good motivation for introducing entropy pairs and systems with uniform positive entropy (u.p.e.) which are two fundamental notions in local entropy theory which were used later for a deep and insightful investigations on topological entropy (cf. [14] for a more story of local entropy theory). In general, results on synchronization of trajectories can be of wide use (e.g. they can help to

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37B20 (primary), 37B05 (secondary).
Key words and phrases. product recurrence, weakly mixing sets, disjointness.

*Corresponding author (chiaths.zhang@gmail.com).

simplify some arguments in proofs etc.). For example, analysis of return or transfer times of points lead to a simple proof that distal point is always minimal or that point minimal or recurrent for a dynamical system (X, f) is, respectively, minimal and recurrent for (X, f^n) for every n, etc.

If in place of recurrence in pair with any recurrent point we demand recurrence in pair with points in a smaller class of dynamical systems, it can lead to a wider class of points than the class of all distal points. For example, Auslander and Furstenberg in [5] asked about points which are recurrent in pair with any minimal point. While there is no known full characterization of points with this property, it was proved in [15] that class of such points is much larger than distal points, in particular it contains many points which are not minimal. Another sufficient conditions for this kind of product recurrence were provided in [9] and [22]. Moreover, [9] defines product recurrence in terms of Furstenberg families (i.e. upward hereditary sets of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$), which is a nice tool for better classification of product recurrence. It is worth emphasizing that concepts of [9] are not artificial, since it is possible almost immediately to relate these new types of product recurrence with some older results on disjointness.

The present paper completes some previous studies on recurrence and product recurrence from [9] and [22]. First, we prove that notions of $\mathscr{F}_{ps} - PR$ and $\mathscr{F}_{pubd} - PR$ are exactly the same as product recurrence, that is, if return times of a point can be synchronized with points returning with a piecewise syndetic set of times, then it can be synchronized with any recurrent point. Next, we analyze synchronizing properties of points in weakly mixing sets, which allow us to show that weakly mixing set with dense distal points contains a residual subset of $\mathscr{F}_s - PR$ points which are not distal (question left open in [22]) and also to prove Furstenberg's result on disjointness between weakly mixing and minimal distal systems without referring to Furstenberg's structure theorem of distal flows. This is especially nice, since now both results of Furstenberg mentioned in the first paragraph of this introduction can be obtained using only Hindman's theorem plus some topological arguments. This even more bonds these two results together. As the last result, we prove that on topological graphs weakly mixing sets of order 2 can be approximated arbitrarily close by a weakly mixing set of all orders. This completes our previous research of [23].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will provide some basic definitions used later in this paper. Denote by $\mathbb{N}$ ($\mathbb{N}_0, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R}$, respectively) the set of all positive integers (non-negative integers, integers, real numbers, respectively). A set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is an *IP-set* if there exists a sequence $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that A consists exactly of numbers p_i together with all finite sums

$$p_{n_1} + p_{n_2} + \cdots + p_{n_k} \text{ with } n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.1. Basic notions in topological dynamics.

By a *(topological) dynamical system*, or *TDS* for short, we mean a pair consisting of a compact metric space (X, d) and a continuous map $f \colon X \to X$. We denote the *diagonal* in $X \times X$ by $\Delta_2(X) = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$.

The *(positive) orbit of $x \in X$ under f* is the set $\mathrm{Orb}^+(x,f) = \{f^n(x) \ : \ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We denote $N_f(x,A) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f^n(x) \in A\}$ and similarly $N_f(A,B) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f^n(A) \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$.

We say that x is a *periodic point of* (X,f) if $f^n(x) = x$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$; a *recurrent point of* (X,f) if $N_f(x,U) \neq \emptyset$ for any open set $U \ni x$; a *transitive point of* (X,f) if $\overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(x,f)} = X$. Denote by $\mathrm{Per}(X,f)$ ($\mathrm{Rec}(X,f)$ and $\mathrm{Tran}(X,f)$, respectively) the set of all periodic points (recurrent points and transitive points, respectively) of (X,f).

Recall that (X,f) is *transitive* if $N_f(U,V) \neq \emptyset$ for any non-empty open sets U and V and *minimal* if $\mathrm{Tran}(X,f) = X$. A point $x \in X$ is *minimal* or *uniformly recurrent* if $(\overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(x,f)}, f)$ is minimal. A dynamical system (X,f) is an *M-system* if it is transitive and the set of all minimal points is dense in X.

A pair of points $x, y \in X$ is *proximal* if there exists an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} d(f^{n_k}(x), f^{n_k}(y)) = 0$. A point x is *distal* if it is not proximal to any point in its orbit closure other than itself. It can be proved that any distal point is minimal [13]. The set of all proximal pairs of (X,f) is denoted by $\mathrm{Prox}(f)$ and a proximal cell of $x \in X$ is denoted by $\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x) = \{y \in X \ : \ (x,y) \in \mathrm{Prox}(f)\}$. We say that (X,f) is *distal* if every $x \in X$ is a distal point. Equivalently, it is to say that there is no proper proximal pair in X (i.e. $\mathrm{Prox}(f) = \Delta_2(X)$).

Let f, g be two continuous surjective maps acting on compact metric spaces X and Y respectively. We say that a non-empty closed set $J \subset X \times Y$ is *a joining* of (X,f) and (Y,g) if it is invariant (for the product map $f \times g$) and its projections onto the first and second coordinate are X and Y respectively. If each joining is equal to $X \times Y$ then we say that (X,f) and (Y,g) are *disjoint* and denote this fact by $(X,f) \perp (Y,g)$ or simply by $f \perp g$.

2.2. **Families and product recurrence.**

A (Furstenberg) family $\mathscr{F}$ is a collection of subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ which is *upwards hereditary*, that is:

$$F_1 \in \mathscr{F} \text{ and } F_1 \subset F_2 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F_2 \in \mathscr{F}.$$

A family $\mathscr{F}$ is *proper* if $\mathbb{N} \in \mathscr{F}$ and $\emptyset \notin \mathscr{F}$. Recall that a set $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is *thick* if for every $n > 0$ there is an $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{i, i+1, \cdots, i+n\} \subset A$; is *syndetic* if A has a bounded gap, that is, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[i, i+N] \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{inf}$, $\mathscr{F}_t$ and $\mathscr{F}_s$ the family of all infinite subsets, thick subsets and syndetic subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, respectively. It is direct to see that each thick subset intersects all syndetic subsets. We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$ the family of all *piecewise syndetic* sets, that is sets that can be obtained as the intersection of a thick set and a syndetic set. We denote by $\mathscr{F}_{pubd}$ the family of sets with *positive upper Banach density*, that is sets $F \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n-m\to\infty} \frac{\#(F \cap \{m, m+1, \cdots, n\})}{n-m+1} > 0,$$

where as usual $\#A$ denotes the cardinality of a set A.

Families may be used to state definitions of recurrent points with prescribed types of the set of return times. Namely, for a family $\mathscr{F}$ and $x \in X$, we say that x is *$\mathscr{F}$-recurrent* if $N_f(x,U) \in \mathscr{F}$ for any open neighborhood U of x. Note that a point is recurrent exactly when it is $\mathscr{F}_{inf}$-recurrent and is minimal when it is

$\mathscr{F}_s$-recurrent. For an interesting exposition on recurrence properties expressed in terms of families the reader is referred to the book [1] by Akin.

A recurrent point x in a dynamical system (X, f) is *product recurrent* if given any recurrent point y in any dynamical system (Y, g) the pair (x, y) is recurrent for the product system $(X \times Y, f \times g)$. If we demand above condition only for y which is uniformly recurrent then we say that x is *weakly product recurrent*. Again, let $\mathscr{F}$ be a family. A recurrent point x in a dynamical system (X, f) is *$\mathscr{F}$-product recurrent* (*$\mathscr{F}$-PR* for short) if for any $\mathscr{F}$-recurrent point y in any dynamical system (Y, g), the pair (x, y) is recurrent for $(X \times Y, f \times g)$.

It is well known that a point is product recurrent if and only it is distal [13] and it was recently proved in [15] that there are weakly product recurrent points which are not distal (in fact, they form a much wider class of points). Properties of product recurrence were studied independently in [9] and [22], where some further necessary conditions for product recurrence were obtained. It is obvious that

$$\mathscr{F}_{inf} - PR \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}_{pubd} - PR \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}_{ps} - PR.$$

The question whether any of the above implications can be reverted was left open in [9]. We will answer this question later in this paper.

2.3. Weakly mixing sets.

A non-empty set $A \subset X$ has the *property **(P)*** if for any open set $U \subset X$ with $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ there exists a point $x \in U \cap A$ and an integer $K > 0$ such that

$$f^{nK}(x) \in U \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A closed set $A \subset X$ containing at least two points is a *weakly mixing set of order n* if for any choice of open subsets $V_1, \cdots, V_n, U_1, \cdots, U_n$ of X with $A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$, $A \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, there exists $k > 0$ such that $f^k(V_i \cap A) \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. If A is weakly mixing of order n for all $n \geq 2$, then we say that A is *weakly mixing of all orders*, or simply a *weakly mixing set*.

Remark 1. *The definition of weakly mixing set introduced here is a little more restrictive (i.e. more conditions are put on A) than in* [23]*, where it was introduced first.*

It can be proved easily that each weakly mixing set of order 2 (as introduced here) is perfect [23]. If the whole X is a weakly mixing set then we say that (X, f) is weakly mixing, which equivalently means that $(X \times X, f \times f)$ is transitive.

2.4. Hyperspaces.

Denot by 2^X the hyperspace of all non-empty compact subsets of X. If (X, d) is a compact metric space then 2^X endowed with the Hausdorff metric $\mathcal{H}_d$ induced by d is also a compact mertic space. The following family

$$\{\langle U_1, \cdots, U_n \rangle \ : \ U_1, \cdots, U_n \text{ are non-empty open subsets of } X, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

forms a basis for a topology of 2^X called the *Vietoris topology*, where

$$\langle S_1, \cdots, S_n \rangle \doteq \left\{ K \in 2^X \ : \ K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_i \text{ and } K \cap S_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } i = 1, \cdots, n \right\}$$

is defined for arbitrary non-empty subsets $S_1, \cdots, S_n \subset X$. It can be proved that the Hausdorff topology (the topology induced by the Hausdorff metric $\mathcal{H}_d$) and the Vietoris topology for 2^X coincide [17, Theorem 3.1].

3. Piecewise syndetic product recurrence

As we mentioned earlier, the question whether any of the implications below can be reverted was left open in [9, Section 5.3]:

$$\mathscr{F}_{inf} - PR \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}_{pubd} - PR \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathscr{F}_{ps} - PR.$$

In this section we will prove that all the above properties are equivalent.

To settle down the question we need the following notions. A set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a *dynamical syndetic set* if there exists a minimal dynamical system (X, f) with a minimal point $x \in X$ and an open neighborhood U of x such that $S = N_f(x, U)$. A set $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ is an *md-set* if there exists an M-system (Y, g) with a transitive point $y \in Y$ and a neighborhood V of y such that $J = N_g(y, V)$.

It was proved in [9, Proposition 3.3] that every thick set containing 0 contains an md-set. The following lemma shows a similar result for a finer structure.

Lemma 2. *Let S_1 and S_2 be a dynamical syndetic set and a thick set containing 0, respectively. Then $S_1 \cap S_2$ contains an md-set $N_g(z, W)$ defined by an $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$-recurrent and transitive point z in an M-system (Y, g) and an open neighborhood W of z.*

Proof. From the definition, there exists a minimal dynamical system (X, f) with a minimal point $x \in X$ and an open neighborhood U of x such that $S_1 = N_f(x, U)$. Moreover, as S_2 is a thick set, there is an increasing sequence n_j such that $n_{j+1} - n_j > j^2$ and $B_j \subset S_2$ with $B_j = [n_j, n_j + j) \cap \mathbb{N}$. Note that sets B_j are pairwise disjoint. Let us renumerate sequence $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ creating a double indexed family $\left\{B_j^{(i)}\right\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$ with the property that $k < s$ whenever $k \in B_1^{(i)}$, $s \in B_1^{(i+1)}$ or $k \in B_j^{(i)}$, $s \in B_{j+1}^{(i)}$ for some indices $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Now we will perform an inductive construction of points $z_x^{(i)} \in \Sigma_2$ and sets $A^{(i)} \subset \mathbb{N}$, where by Σ_2 we denote the two-sided full shift over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (together with the left shift transformation σ). If $u, v \in \{0, 1\}^k$ then we write $u \preccurlyeq v$ if $u[i] \leq v[i]$ for every $0 \leq i < k$. We can extend easily this relation onto infinite sequences and next to bi-infinite sequences $y \in \Sigma_2$ such that $y[i] = 0$ for $i < 0$. Define a point $z_x \in \Sigma_2$ by putting $z_x[i] = 0$ if $i < 0$, $z_x[i] = 0$ if $f^i(x) \notin U$ for some $i \geq 0$ and $z_x[i] = 1$ in all other situations.

Now, let us put $z_x^{(1)}[i] = 1$ when $i = 0$ or when $f^i(x) \in U$ and $i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j$. For all other values of i we put $z_x^{(1)}[i] = 0$. Then $z_x^{(1)} \preccurlyeq z_x$. Define

$$A^{(1)} = \left\{ i \in \mathbb{N} : f^i(x) \in U, i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(1)} \right\}.$$

Point x is minimal, so clearly $A^{(1)}$ is piecewise syndetic and $z_x^{(1)}[k] = 1$ for every $k \in A^{(1)}$.

Assume that for some $m \geq 1$ we have constructed points $z_x^{(m)} \preccurlyeq z_x^{(m-1)} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq z_x^{(1)}$ and piecewise syndetic sets $A^{(1)}, \cdots, A^{(m)}$ with the following additional properties:

(2.1) $z_x^{(s)}[k] = z_x^{(s+1)}[k]$ provided that $k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(s+1)}$, where $s < m$;

(2.2) $(k - s, k + s) \cap \mathbb{Z} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(s)}$ for every $k \in A^{(s)}$, where $s = 1, \cdots, m$; and

(2.3) $(z_x^{(s)})_{(-s,s)} = (z_x^{(s)})_{(k-s,k+s)}$ for every $k \in A^{(s)}$, where $s = 1, \cdots, m$.

Now we are going to construct $z_x^{(m+1)}$ and $A^{(m+1)}$.

First we put $z_x^{(m+1)}[k] = z_x^{(m)}[k]$ for every $k \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)}$. On other positions we will copy only a part of symbols 1 from $z_x^{(m)}$, changing into 0 some of them. Note that by the construction we have $z_x^{(m)}[k] = z_x^{(1)}[k]$ for every $k \in \bigcup_{s>m} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(s)}$.

There is an integer t such that if $a \in B_j^{(m+1)}$, $b \in B_{j+1}^{(m+1)}$ for some $j \geq t$ then $b - a > 3m$. In particular, if $i - m, i + m \in \bigcup_{j=t}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ then there is $j \geq t$ such that $[i - m, i + m] \cap \mathbb{N} \subset B_j^{(m+1)}$. We choose an open set $V \ni x$ such that, for $0 \leq j \leq m$, $f^j(x) \in U$ implies $f^j(V) \subset U$. Obviously the following set is piecewise syndetic:

$$A = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{N} : f^k(x) \in V \text{ and } k - m \in \bigcup_{j=t}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)}, k + m \in \bigcup_{j=t}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)} \right\}.$$

We can remove elements in A if necessary, keeping it piecewise syndetic and at the same time ensuring that $|a - b| > 3m$ whenever $a, b \in A$ are distinct. Denote by $A^{(m+1)}$ the set A after this modification. This modification ensures that if we put $(z_x^{(m+1)})_{[k,k+m]} = (z_x^{(m)})_{[0,m]}$ for all $k \in A^{(m+1)}$ and put $z_x^{(m+1)}[i] = 0$ for all $i \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)} \setminus \bigcup_{i \in A^{(m+1)}} [i, i + m]$ then $z_x^{(m+1)}$ is well defined (simply because $|a - b| > 3m$ and so $[a - m, a + m] \cap [b - m, b + m] = \emptyset$ for distinct $a, b \in A^{(m+1)}$).

Now it remains to check that $z_x^{(m+1)}$ and $A^{(m+1)}$ satisfy all desired properties. Directly from the construction we have that $A^{(m+1)}$ is piecewise syndetic and conditions (2.1), (2.2) are satisfied. Note that $\min \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(m+1)} > m$ therefore $(z_x^{(m+1)})_{[0,m]} = (z_x^{(m)})_{[0,m]}$ which also gives (2.3) with the help of the construction. The only condition which remains is $z_x^{(m+1)} \preccurlyeq z_x^{(m)}$. Fix any $k \in A^{(m+1)}$. Then by the construction we have that $(z_x^{(m+1)})_{[k,k+m]} = (z_x^{(m+1)})_{[0,m]}$. Observe that $f^k(x) \in V$ and therefore by the definition of V, if $f^j(x) \in U$ for some $0 \leq j \leq m$ then $f^{k+j}(x) \in U$. In other words $(z_x)_{[0,m]} \preccurlyeq (z_x^{(1)})_{[k,k+m]}$. Additionally by the construction and (2.1) we have that $(z_x^{(m)})_{[k,k+m]} = (z_x^{(1)})_{[k,k+m]}$. Combining all these facts together we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (z_x^{(m+1)})_{[k,k+m]} &= (z_x^{(m+1)})_{[0,m]} = (z_x^{(m)})_{[0,m]} \\ &\preccurlyeq (z_x)_{[0,m]} \preccurlyeq (z_x^{(1)})_{[k,k+m]} = (z_x^{(m)})_{[k,k+m]}. \end{aligned}$$

But for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{j \in A^{(m+1)}} [j, j + m]$ we also have $z_x^{(m+1)}[i] \leq z_x^{(m)}[i]$ from the construction, and so $z_x^{(m+1)} \preccurlyeq z_x^{(m)}$ which completes the induction.

By the definition obviously $\left\{ z_x^{(m)} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Σ_2, so the limit $z = \lim_{m \to \infty} z_x^{(m)}$ is well defined. Furthermore, the only modifications of $z_x^{(s)}$ in the further steps of induction are done on the set $Q_s = \bigcup_{i=s+1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j^{(i)}$ and so $z[k] = z_x^{(s)}[k]$ for every $k \notin Q_s$. In particular, for every $i \in A^{(s)}$ we have

$$z_{(-s,s)} = (z_x^{(s)})_{(-s,s)} = (z_x^{(s)})_{(i-s,i+s)} = z_{(i-s,i+s)}$$

which equivalently means that $N_\sigma(z, W) \supset A^{(s)}$, where σ is the shift transformation over Σ_2 and W is the cylinder set $\left\{ q \in \Sigma_2 : q_{(-s,s)} = z_{(-s,s)} \right\}$. This proves that z is $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$-recurrent. Denote by Z the closure of the (positive) orbit $\mathrm{Orb}^+(z, \sigma)$ of z

under the shift transformation. It can be proved (e.g. see [16, Lemma 2.1]) that (Y, g) is an M-system if and only if there is a transitive point $y \in Y$ such that $N_g(y, W) \in \mathscr{F}_{ps}$ for any neighborhood W of y. Thus (Z, σ) is an M-system and so from the construction $S_1 \cap S_2$ contains an md-set $N_\sigma(z, W_1)$, where W_1 is the cylinder set $\{q \in \Sigma_2 : q[0] = 1\}$. □

By characterization from [13], a point x is distal if and only if x is $\mathscr{F}_{inf} - PR$, so to answer out question it is enough to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *If x is $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$-PR then it is distal.*

Proof. First note that x is minimal by [9, Theorem 3.4]. Let X be the closure of the (positive) orbit of x under the associated map f. Assume on the contrary that x is not distal, which means that there is a minimal point $y \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that the pair (x, y) is proximal. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and U, V be two open sets such that $y \in U$, $x \notin \overline{V}$ and $B(\overline{U}, \varepsilon) \subset V$.

The pair (x, y) is proximal, and so there is an increasing sequence n_j such that $n_{j+1} - n_j > j^2$ and $d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{j}$ for every $i \in [n_j, n_j + j)$. We denote $S_1 = N_f(y, U)$ and let $S_2 = \{0\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} [n_j, n_j + j) \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then S_1 is a dynamical syndetic set and S_2 is a thick set containing 0.

By Lemma 2 the intersection $S_1 \cap S_2$ contains a set $N_g(z, W)$, where z is a transitive and $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$-recurrent point from some M-system (Z, g), and W is a neighborhood of z. But $(x, z) \in (X \setminus \overline{V}) \times W$ and for any $k > 0$ if $g^k(z) \in W$ then $f^k(y) \in U$ and $d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) < \varepsilon$, which implies that $f^k(x) \in V$. This shows that (x, z) is not recurrent which ends the proof. □

Denote by *$\mathscr{F}$-PR_0* the restriction in the definition of $\mathscr{F}$-PR considering recurrence in pair only with points y from dynamical systems (Y, g) with zero topological entropy. Generally speaking, *$\mathscr{F}$-PR_0* denotes product recurrence with respect to systems of zero entropy.

Observe that in the proof of Lemma 2 the system generated by z can have positive topological entropy. For example, if x is from a minimal subshift X over $\{0, 1\}$ then it may happen that in the first step of the induction we will incorporate in z arbitrarily long subwords of x, and therefore entropy of the subshift generated by z will be at least as that of X. In fact, this point is not surprising, since it was shown in [9] that there exists minimal systems with positive entropy (therefore not distal) such that every point in this system is $\mathscr{F}_{ps}$-PR$_0$. In particular another question from [9] about implication

$$\mathscr{F}_{ps} - PR_0 \quad \Longrightarrow \mathscr{F}_{pubd} - PR_0$$

remains still open.

4. Weak mixing and synchronization

In this section we investigate properties of the set of transfer times of points in weakly mixing sets. One of possible applications of our analysis is another proof of an important result by Furstenberg [12] stating that every weakly mixing system is disjoint from all minimal distal systems.

For this purpose, given sets $U, V \subset X$ we denote $C_f(U, V) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : f^n(U) \subset V\}$.

Additionally, for any sequence of positive integers $p_1, p_2, \cdots$ and $n \geq 1$, denote by $S(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ the set of all sums of subsequences of $p_1, \cdots, p_n$, that is,

$$S(p_1, \cdots, p_n) = \{p_{i_1} + \cdots + p_{i_k} \ : \ 1 \leq k \leq n, \ i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}.$$

Just by the definition, the set $S(p_1, p_2, \cdots) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ is an IP-set.

The following fact has a straightforward proof.

Lemma 4. *If for some open sets U, V and a point $x \in X$ we have $J \subset C_f(U, V)$ and $l \in N_f(x, U)$ then $l + s \in N_f(x, V)$ for every $s \in J$.*

Before proceeding, we also need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5. *Assume that A is a weakly mixing set of order 2 for (X, f). Let U, V be open sets intersecting A and $x \in U \cap A$, $y \in V \cap A$. If there are $p_1, \cdots, p_n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying*

$$S(p_1, \cdots, p_n) \subset N_f(x, V) \cap N_f(y, V)$$

then there is an integer $p_{n+1} > \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$ and open sets U', V' intersecting A such that

$$\overline{U'} \subset U, \overline{V'} \subset V \text{ and } S(p_1, \cdots, p_n, p_{n+1}) \subset C_f(U', V) \cap C_f(V', V).$$

Proof. By the assumptions, there are neighborhoods $W \subset V, W' \subset U$ of y and x respectively, such that $S(p_1, \cdots, p_n) \subset C_f(W', V) \cap C_f(W, V)$. Since A is a weakly mixing set of order 2, there are $l > \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$ and $x' \in A \cap W'$, $y' \in A \cap W$, such that

$$l \in N_f(x', W) \cap N_f(y', W).$$

But then, by Lemma 4, $l + s \in N_f(x', V)$ and $l + s \in N_f(y', V)$ for all $s \in S(p_1, \cdots, p_n)$. Putting $p_{n+1} = l$ we obtain that

$$S(p_1, \cdots, p_{n+1}) \subset N_f(x', V) \cap N_f(y', V).$$

Now, it is enough to choose sufficiently small neighborhoods U', V' of x', y' respectively and the proof is completed. □

The following fact is the main result of this section. It provides a characterization of transfer times of points in weakly mixing sets.

Theorem 6. *Let A be a weakly mixing set of order 2 for (X, f) and U an open set intersecting A. Then there is $x \in U \cap A$ such that for every open set V intersecting A the set $N_f(x, V)$ contains an IP-set. In particular, for every open set V intersecting A the set $N_f(U \cap A, V)$ contains an IP-set.*

Proof. We choose a set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ dense in A. It suffices to prove that there is $x \in U \cap A$ such that for every i and every $n > 0$ the set $N_f(x, B(x_i, \frac{1}{n}))$ contains an IP-set.

Arrange sets $\left\{B(x_i, \frac{1}{n})\right\}_{i,n=1}^{\infty}$ into a sequence, let say $V_1, V_2, \cdots$ and order pairs (i, j) by taking consecutive diagonals $\{(i, j) : i + j = n\}$ in $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, say

$$(1,1) \prec (1,2) \prec (2,1) \prec (1,3) \prec (2,2) \prec (3,1) \prec (1,4) \prec \cdots.$$

For technical reasons, we assume that $(0,0) \prec (1,1)$ and put as $U^{(0,0)}$ an open set intersecting A such that $\overline{U^{(0,0)}} \subset U$ and diameter of $U^{(0,0)}$ is at most 1. By the same reason we put $p_0^{(0)} = 0$ and $V_i^{(0)} = V_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We will perform an inductive construction with respect to the relation $\prec$ to construct:

(i) a sequence of sets $U^{(i,j)}$ intersecting A such that the diameter of $U^{(i,j)}$ is at most $\frac{1}{i+j+1}$ and $\overline{U^{(i,j)}} \subset U^{(a,b)}$ if $(a,b) \prec (i,j)$;

(ii) for every $j = 1, 2, \cdots$ a sequence of sets $V_i^{(j)}$ intersecting A such that $\overline{V_i^{(j)}} \subset V_i^{(j-1)}$ (recall that $V_i^{(0)} = V_i$); and

(iii) a sequence of integers $p_i^{(j)}$ such that $S(p_i^{(1)}, \cdots, p_i^{(j)})$ is a subset of $C_f(U^{(i,j)}, V_i)$ $\cap C_f(V_i^{(j)}, V_i)$ and $p_i^{(j)} > p_i^{(j-1)}$ (recall that $p_0^{(0)} = 0$).

Consider a pair (i,j) and assume that for the pair (i',j') directly proceeding (i,j) with respect to the relation $\prec$ we have already constructed a set $U^{(i',j')}$ such that $U^{(i',j')} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $\overline{U^{(i',j')}} \subset U^{(a,b)}$ for every $(a,b) \prec (i',j')$. We also assume that numbers $p_{j_1}^{(i_1)}$ have already been constructed for all $(i_1, j_1) \prec (i,j)$.

If $j = 1$, then since A is weakly mixing of order 2, we can find $x \in U^{(i',j')} \cap A$ and $y \in V_i \cap A$ such that there is $l \in N_f(x, V_i) \cap N_f(y, V_i)$. Then, there are open sets $U^{(i,j)} \ni x$ and $V_i^{(1)} \ni y$ such that diameter of $U^{(i,j)}$ is at most $\frac{1}{i+j+1}$ and $l \in C_f(U^{(i,j)}, V_i) \cap C_f(V_i^{(1)}, V_i), \overline{V_i^{(1)}} \subset V_i, \overline{U^{(i,j)}} \subset U^{(i',j')}$. We put $p_1^{(i)} = l$.

If $j > 1$ then we have already constructed positive integers $p_i^{(1)} < p_i^{(2)} < \cdots < p_i^{(j-1)}$ and sets $V_i^{(1)}, \cdots, V_i^{(j-1)}$ intersecting A such that $S(p_i^{(1)}, \cdots, p_i^{(j-1)}) \subset C_f(U^{(i,j-1)}, V_i) \cap C_f(V_i^{(j-1)}, V_i)$ and $\overline{V_i^{(k)}} \subset V_i^{(k-1)}$ for all $k = 1, \cdots, j-1$. Fix any $x \in U^{(i',j')} \cap A$ and $y \in V_i^{(j-1)} \cap A$. Applying Lemma 5 we obtain an integer $p_i^{(j)} > \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} p_i^{(k)}$ and open sets $\overline{U^{(i,j)}} \subset U^{(i',j')}$, $\overline{V_i^{(j)}} \subset V_i^{(j-1)}$, both intersecting A, such that diameter of $U^{(i,j)}$ is at most $\frac{1}{i+j+1}$ and

$$S(p_i^{(1)}, \cdots, p_i^{(j)}) \subset C_f(U^{(i,j)}, V_i) \cap C_f(V_i^{(j)}, V_i).$$

By the above inductive construction, we obtain a nested sequence of sets $U^{(i,j)}$ with respect to the relation $\prec$. Now fix any

$$z \in \bigcap_{i,j} \overline{U^{(i,j)}} \subset U.$$

Note that each $U^{(i,j)}$ intersects the closed set A and the diameter of $U^{(i,j)}$ is at most $\frac{1}{i+j+1}$, so directly from the construction we have that $z \in U \cap A$ and

$$S(p_i^{(1)}, \cdots, p_i^{(j)}) \subset N_f(z, V_i)$$

for any pair (i,j). Therefore $N_f(z, V_i)$ contains the IP-set generated by the sequence $\left\{p_i^{(j)}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. But $i \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, and so the proof is completed. $\square$

Now we are going to present two possible applications of somehow technical results in Theorem 6.

The following theorem was first proved by Furstenberg [12, Theorem II.3]. The main argument in his proof is an algebraic description of the structure of minimal distal systems [12, Proposition II.9] which is an advanced result with a complicated proof (see also [11]) and the fact that the Cartesian product of a weakly mixing system with any minimal system is transitive [12, Proposition II.11]. Here we offer another proof of Furtenberg's theorem using tool provided by Theorem 6. In particular our proof relies on dynamical properties of distal points rather than algebraic properties of distal minimal systems. One of important tools in our proof (which is somehow hidden behind results of [13, Theorem 9.11]) is Hindman's theorem. While we definitely do not refer to algebraic classification of distal minimal systems, it is not completely honest to say that arguments in our proof are elementary.

Corollary 7. *If (X, f) is weakly mixing then it is disjoint from any minimal distal system.*

Proof. If X is a singleton then theorem holds. Therefore we can assume that X has at least two points, and so X is perfect. Fix any minimal distal system (Y, g) and let $J \subset X \times Y$ be a joining of (X, f) and (Y, g). Let $x \in X$ be a point obtained by applying Theorem 6 with $A = U = X$. Since J is a joining, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $(x, y) \in J$. It can be proved that a point y is distal if and only if for each neighborhood W of y, the set $N_g(y, W)$ has a non-empty intersection with any IP-set (mainly, because that each IP-set contains a set of return times of some recurrent point to its sufficiently small neighborhood), e.g. see [13, Theorem 9.11]. It immediately implies that $N_f(x, V) \cap N_g(y, W) \neq \emptyset$ for any non-empty open set $V \subset X$ and any open neighborhood $W \ni y$. Since V is arbitrary, taking a nested sequence of neighborhoods of y and using the fact that J is a closed set containing positive limit set of the pair (x, y) under $f \times g$, we obtain the inclusion $X \times \{y\} \subset J$. But y has a dense orbit in Y, hence we get $X \times Y \subset J$ which ends the proof. □

In [7] the authors introduced scattering systems using topological complexity of open covers and proved that scattering systems are disjoint from all minimal distal systems [7, Proposition 4.2]. The proof of [7, Proposition 4.2] relies again on the algebraic description from [12, Proposition II.9]. Each weakly mixing system is scattering [7, Proposition 3.4] and for a minimal system scattering and weak mixing are equivalent properties [7, Proposition 3.8]. Unfortunately, we do not know if our proof can be adopted to prove [7, Proposition 4.2], that is, we do not know how to extend our result to work also for non-minimal scattering systems.

In [9, 22] it was proved that each weakly mixing system with dense distal points is disjoint from all minimal systems and all points with a dense orbit in such a system are weakly product recurrent. Another result of [22] shows that each weakly mixing set with the property **(P)** contains a residual subset of weakly product recurrent points. It is also possible to prove that (see [16]) that every weakly mixing system with the property **(P)** is disjoint from all minimal systems. Then, a natural claim is that every weakly mixing set (of order 2) with dense distal points should contain weakly product recurrent points. However, it is much harder to synchronize with distal points than with uniformly recurrent points, and because of this difficulty the above claim was left open in [22]. Now, Theorem 6 provides a tool which can be used to finally prove the above mentioned fact, completing the results of [22].

Corollary 8. *Let $A \subset X$ be a weakly mixing set of order 2 for (X, f). If additionally distal points are dense in A then A contains a residual subset of points which are weakly product recurrent but not product recurrent.*

Proof. Fix any sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ dense in A which consists of distal points in A. We consider the subset $D \subset A$ defined as follows: a point $x \in A$ belongs also to D if for any point x_i and any open set $U \ni x_i$ we have $N_f(x, U) \cap N_f(x_i, U) \neq \emptyset$.

First, let us prove that D is residual in A. Obviously D is a G_δ subset, as $D = \bigcap_{i,j=1}^{\infty} D_{i,j}$ where $D_{i,j}$ is the open set consisting of all points $x \in A$ such that

$$N_f(x, B(x_i, \frac{1}{j})) \cap N_f(x_i, B(x_i, \frac{1}{j})) \neq \emptyset.$$

It remains to show that each $D_{i,j}$ is a dense subset of A. Fix any $i, j > 0$ and any open set W intersecting A. Let $x \in W \cap A$ be a point obtained from Theorem 6. By the choice of x there is an IP-set $P \subset N_f(x, B(x_i, \frac{1}{j}))$, and so $P \cap N_f(x_i, B(x_i, \frac{1}{j})) \neq \emptyset$ as x_i is a distal point (we apply again the characterization of product recurrence [13, Theorem 9.11]). Thus $D_{i,j}$ is a dense subset of A, and then D is a residual subset of A.

By the construction, $\overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(x, f)} \supset A$ for any $x \in D$. It remains to show that each point in D is weakly product recurrent, since it is easy to verify that it cannot be product recurrent. Namely, if x is product recurrent which is equivalent to say that $x \in D$ is distal, then $A \subset \overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(x, f)}$ which is a contradiction, since it is easy to verify that in that case $\overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(x, f)}$ contains a point (other than x itself) proximal to x. In fact, by the construction of D each point x_i is proximal to x.

To finish the proof, fix any $x \in D$ and any uniformly recurrent point y in a dynamical system (Y, g), and next fix any open neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x and any open neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of y. There is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_i \in U$, and so by [13, Theorem 9.11] the pair (x_i, y) is uniformly recurrent. We choose $\varepsilon > 0$ with $B(x_i, 2\varepsilon) \subset U$. Since (x_i, y) is uniformly recurrent, the set $N_f(x_i, B(x_i, \varepsilon)) \cap N_g(y, V)$ is syndetic, and so there is $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that it intersects any block of consecutive M integers in $\mathbb{N}$. Now we choose an open set $W \ni x_i$ such that diameter of each $f^j(W)$ is smaller than ε for $j = 0, 1, \cdots, M$. But $x \in D$, so by the definition of D there is $t \in N_f(x, W) \cap N_f(x_i, W)$. Then there is $j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, M\}$ such that $t+j \in N_f(x_i, B(x_i, \varepsilon)) \cap N_g(y, V)$ and additionally $d(f^{t+j}(x), f^{t+j}(x_i)) \leq \varepsilon$, because the diameter of $f^j(W)$ is smaller than ε and both $f^t(x)$ and $f^t(x_i)$ are contained in W. This gives $f^{t+j}(x) \in B(x_i, 2\varepsilon) \subset U$ and therefore $N_f(x, U) \cap N_f(y, V) \neq \emptyset$, which completes the proof. □

The following example shows that there are systems fulfilling assumptions of Corollary 8 which can not be covered by results of [22].

Example 9. *Let R be an irrational rotation of the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ and let T be the standard tent map on the unit interval. Consider $F = R \times T$ acting on $X = \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1]$. Note that the set $A = \{x\} \times [0, 1]$ is a weakly mixing set for any $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$. To see this, fix any open sets $W_1, \cdots, W_n \subset X$ intersecting A. There exist open intervals $V_1, \cdots, V_n \subset [0, 1]$ and $U \subset \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $U \times V_i \subset W_i$ and $U \times V_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (i.e. $x \in U$) for all $i = 1, \cdots, n$. But T is the tent map, so there exists $N > 0$ such that $T^k(V_i) = [0, 1]$ for every $k > N$ and $i = 1, \cdots, n$. There also exists $K > N$ such that $R^K(x) \in U$. This shows that $F^K(W_i \cap A) \supset \{R^K(x)\} \times [0, 1]$ and so $F^K(W_i \cap A) \cap W_j \neq \emptyset$ for any $i, j = 1, \cdots, n$. Indeed A is a weakly mixing set.*

*Additionally A contains a dense set of distal points, since any periodic point of T contained in the fibre A will generate a distal point for F. This shows, by Corollary 8, that there are many weakly product recurrent but not product recurrent points for F. But there is no a set in X which can fulfil the regular condition of return times required by the property **(P)**. Simply, if we fix any $z \in X$ then for every open set $U \times V \subset X$ and every $K > 0$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$\pi_1(F^{nK}(z)) = R^{nK}(\pi_1(z)) \notin U$, where π_1 is the projection onto the first coordinate, and so $F^{nK}(z) \notin U \times V$.

The next example (constructed in Theorem 10) shows that assumptions of Corollary 8 can not be weakened too much. Strictly speaking, it is not enough if a weakly mixing set is contained in the closure of distal or even regular uniformly recurrent points.

First, let us recall some basic facts on Toeplitz flows (a more detailed exposition on properties of Toeplitz flows can be found in [10]). Suppose that X_ω is a Toeplitz flow, that is $X_\omega = \overline{\mathrm{Orb}^+(\omega, \sigma)}$ for some Toeplitz sequence ω. For $x \in X_\omega$ and an integer $l > 0$, we denote

$$\mathrm{Per}_l(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \,:\, x(n) = x(n+kl) \text{ for every } k = 1, 2, \cdots\}.$$

As ω is a Toeplitz sequence then $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_m \mathrm{Per}_m(\omega)$. By an *essential period* of ω we mean any s such that $\mathrm{Per}_s(\omega) \neq \emptyset$ and does not coincide with $\mathrm{Per}_k(\omega)$ for any $k < s$. A period structure of ω is any sequence $\mathbf{s} = \{s_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of essential periods such that each s_m divides s_{m+1} and $\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_m \mathrm{Per}_{s_m}(\omega)$. It is known that a periodic structure always exists, and X_ω is an almost 1-1 extension of the odometer (inverse limit with addition (mod s_m) on each coordinate):

$$G_{\mathbf{s}} = \overleftarrow{\lim_{m}} \, \{0, 1, \cdots, s_m - 1\}$$

which is well defined as the subset consisting of all "paths"

$$(j_1, j_2, \cdots) \in \prod_m \{0, 1, \cdots, s_m - 1\}$$

such that $j_{m+1} = j_m \pmod{s_m}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So we will always assume that a periodic structure is fixed together with the factor map $\pi_\omega \colon X_\omega \to G_{\mathbf{s}}$. If $x \in X_\omega$ is not a Toeplitz sequence itself, then it may happen that periodic parts do not cover whole $\mathbb{N}$, that is the *aperiodic part of x*

$$\mathrm{Aper}(x) := \mathbb{N} \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Per}_{s_m}(x)$$

is non-empty. However it is also can be proved that if we fix any $\mathbf{j} \in G_{\mathbf{s}}$ then $\mathrm{Aper}(x) = \mathrm{Aper}(y)$ for every $x, y \in \pi_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{j})$ (for further properties of the set $\mathrm{Aper}(x)$ we refer again to [10]), in particular we can write $\mathrm{Aper}(\mathbf{j}) := \mathrm{Aper}(x)$. Assume that $\mathrm{Aper}(x)$ is infinite and enumerate its elements, say $\mathrm{Aper}(x) = \{0 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots\}$. Then by the *aperiodic readout* of x we mean the sequence

$$y = x|_{\mathrm{Aper}(x)} = x[n_1]x[n_2]\cdots.$$

In other words, we read and write down symbols along $\mathrm{Aper}(x)$. For every $\mathbf{j} \in G_{\mathbf{s}}$ with $\#\,\mathrm{Aper}(\mathbf{j}) = \infty$, let $Y_{\mathbf{j}}$ be the set of all possible aperiodic readouts of elements in $\pi_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{j})$.

Theorem 10. *There is a minimal dynamical system with dense distal points, such that it contains weakly mixing sets and any of its weakly mixing sets does not contain weakly product recurrent points.*

Proof. Let X_ω be a Toeplitz flow which is an extension of an odometer $G_{\mathbf{s}}$ via the factor map $\pi_\omega \colon X_\omega \to G_{\mathbf{s}}$, such that $Y_{\mathbf{j}} = \Sigma_2$ for every $\mathbf{j} \in G_{\mathbf{s}}$ with $\#\,\mathrm{Aper}(\mathbf{j}) = \infty$, where Σ_2 is the two-sided infinite sequence over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ (one of possible constructions of such flow is explicitly described in [19]). Since X_ω is an almost

1-1 extension of an odometer, the set of all distal points is dense in X_ω. It can be proved that X_ω has positive topological entropy, and so by results of [8, 23] it has at least one weakly mixing subset.

Observe that each odometer is equicontinuous and so contains no weakly mixing subsets. Now, if A is a weakly mixing set of X_ω then it must be contained in a fibre $\pi_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{j})$ with $\#Y_{\mathbf{j}} = \infty$, which equivalently means that $\#\operatorname{Aper}(\mathbf{j}) = \infty$. But then aperiodic part of elements of the fiber $\pi_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{j})$ equals to Σ_2. Aperiodic part occurs at the same positions in each element of A and furthermore any two points in the same fibre have the same symbols on the periodic part.

For any $x \in A$ let $y \in \pi_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{j})$ be such that $y \neq x$ and $(x|_{\operatorname{Aper}(\mathbf{j})})_{[1,\infty)} = (y|_{\operatorname{Aper}(\mathbf{j})})_{[1,\infty)}$. In other words, x and y are defined by points in Σ_2 which differ on the first position. It means that $x_{[i,i+N]} = y_{[i,i+N]}$ for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $i > K$ where $K \in \mathbb{N}$ depends only on $\operatorname{Aper}(\mathbf{j})$ (in particular, it is independent of $N \in \mathbb{N}$). If we fix sufficiently small neighborhoods U, V of x, y, respectively, then x, y cannot return to U and V respectively synchronously (in fact, it is enough that U, V are defined by cylinders of words longer than K). This proves that (x, y) is not recurrent, and so x is not weakly product recurrent, since y is uniformly recurrent as a member of a minimal system. ☐

5. Weakly mixing sets and proximality

As shown by [23, 24] the limit behavior of points in a weakly mixing set can be quite complex. In this section we shall present some further discussions along this line.

We begin this section with the following easy observation.

Lemma 11. *Let A be a weakly mixing set and $x_1, \cdots, x_n \in A$. For every sequence $U_1, \cdots, U_n$ of open neighborhoods of points $x_1, \cdots, x_n$, respectively, there is a weakly mixing set $B \in \langle U_1 \cap A, \cdots, U_n \cap A\rangle$ such that $x_i \in B$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.*

Proof. The proof will be finished by a simple construction using the definition. We leave details to the reader. ☐

Recall that for $x \in X$, its *(positive) limit set* $\omega_f(x)$ is defined as the set of all points $y \in X$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} f^{n_k}x = y$ for some increasing sequence $\{n_1 < n_2 < \cdots\}$ in $\mathbb{N}$. The following example shows that points in weakly mixing sets do not have to have good recurrence properties.

Example 12. *There is a weakly mixing set A such that $\omega_f(x) \cap A = \emptyset$ for some $x \in A$.*

Proof. Take any non-minimal weakly mixing system (X, f) containing a non-recurrent point x with a minimal limit set. A trivial example is the two-sided full shift over the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$. Next, let $U = X \setminus \overline{B(\omega_f(x), \varepsilon)}$ where $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{dist}(\omega_f(x), x)$, where as usual $\operatorname{dist}(\omega_f(x), x)$ is the distance between the set $\omega_f(x)$ and point x. Then $x \in U$ and so it suffices to apply Lemma 11 with $x_1 = x$ and $U_1 = U$ obtaining a weakly mixing set $B \subset U$ such that $x \in B$. But then $\operatorname{dist}(B, \omega_f(x)) > \varepsilon$ and the result follows. ☐

By the above example we cannot guarantee that a member of a weakly mixing set A will return to a neighborhood of A. In particular, points obtained by application of Theorem 6 are very special. Despite of this difficulty, we still can guarantee some degree of synchronization of trajectories of points in A. In the case of $X = A$ the following lemma follows directly from the definition. In the local case $A \subsetneq X$ we have to perform a more careful approximation of desired points.

Lemma 13. *Let A be a weakly mixing set and $x \in A$. Then for every open set U intersecting A and each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in U \cap A$ with $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Fix any $x \in A$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. Let U be an open set intersecting A and let $\{V_1, \cdots, V_k\}$ be a cover of X consisting of open sets with diameters less than ε. We will perform a construction of y and n in a finite number of steps (at most k).

By weak mixing of A there are $i_1 \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$, and integer $n_1 > 0$ and an open set $U_1^{(1)} \subset U$ intersecting A such that $f^{n_1}(U_1^{(1)}) \subset V_{i_1}$, where $x \in V_{i_1}$.

Next, assume that for some $m \geq 1$ we have constructed open sets $U_1^{(m)}, \cdots, U_m^{(m)} \subset U$ intersecting A, an integer $n_m > 0$ and pairwise distinct integers $i_1, \cdots, i_m \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$ such that $f^{n_m}(U_j^{(m)}) \subset V_{i_j}$ for each $j = 1, \cdots, m$. If $f^{n_m}(x) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_{i_j}$, then we can choose $i_{m+1} \in \{1, \cdots, k\} \setminus \{i_1, \cdots, i_m\}$ and an open set $U_{m+1}^{(m)}$ containing x (and so intersecting A) such that $f^{n_m}(U_{m+1}^{(m)}) \subset V_{i_{m+1}}$. By weak mixing of A there are open sets $U_j^{(m+1)} \subset U$ intersecting A and $s > 0$ such that $f^s(U_j^{(m+1)}) \subset U_j^{(m)}$ for each $j = 1, \cdots, m+1$. Now, if we put $n_{m+1} = n_m + s$ then, for each $j = 1, \cdots, m+1$ we obtain that:

$$f^{n_{m+1}}(U_j^{(m+1)}) = f^{n_m}(f^s(U_j^{(m+1)})) \subset f^{n_m}(U_j^{(m)}) \subset V_{i_j}.$$

Obviously at some step $m \leq k$ we cannot extend sequence $i_1, \cdots, i_m$ any further by the above procedure. Hence, we have that $f^{n_m}(x) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^m V_{i_j}$, in particular $f^{n_m}(x) \in V_{i_l}$ for some $l \in \{1, \cdots, k\}$. But then by the construction $f^{n_m}(U_l^{(m)}) \subset V_{i_l}$, and so if we fix any $y \in U_l^{(m)} \cap A \subset U \cap A$ then $f^{n_m}(y), f^{n_m}(x) \in V_{i_l}$. We have just proved that $d(f^{n_m}(y), f^{n_m}(x)) < \varepsilon$, as the diameter of V_{i_l} is less than ε, which ends the proof. □

First, it was proved in [18] that for weakly mixing systems the set of points x at which $\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x)$ is residual in X is itself residual in X, that is, for $\mathrm{Prox}(f)$ there is a residual set of parameters where sections are also residual. Later it was proved by Furstenberg in [13] that $\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x)$ is residual for any x, provided that (X, f) is minimal and weakly mixing. Finally, Akin and Kolyada proved in [2] that in a weakly mixing system $\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x)$ is dense for every point $x \in X$ (hence residual, because it is always a G_δ set).

Now, we have enough tools at hand to prove yet another extension of these classical results.

Theorem 14. *For every weakly mixing set A and every $x \in A$ the set $\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x) \cap A$ is residual in A.*

Proof. Fix any $x \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 13, if we consider the set A_ε consisting of all points $y \in A$ such that $d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) < \varepsilon$ for some $n > 0$, then A_ε is a dense subset of A. But it is also easy to verify that A_ε is an open subset of A. This, by the inclusion

$$\mathrm{Prox}(f)(x) \cap A \supset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}},$$

proves that $\operatorname{Prox}(f)(x)$ is residual in A which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 15. *Theorem 14 provides another tool that can be used to prove Corollary 8 similarly in the special case of weakly mixing sets. Unfortunately, so far we do not have any evidence that these cases are different.*

6. Topological graphs

It is known that a weakly mixing set of order n does not have to be weakly mixing of order $n+1$, or even worse, it may happen that there is no any weakly mixing set of order $n+1$ in the system [24]. However, in [23] we proved that on the unit interval, every weakly mixing set of order 2 is arbitrarily close (in the Hausdorff metric) to a weakly mixing set of all orders. Theorem 17 completes our research on weakly mixing sets in dimension one, showing that the above fact also holds for all topological graphs.

Let us first recall basic facts and definitions on topological graphs. A *topological graph* is a compact connected metric space which is homeomorphic to a polyhedron (a geometric realization) of some finite one-dimensional complex. In other words, a topological graph is a continuum G such that there is an one dimensional complex $\mathfrak{K}$ whose geometric carrier $|\mathfrak{K}|$ is homeomorphic to G. We may regard G as a subspace of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ (that is, each graph is identified with some linear graph in $\mathbb{R}^3$, see [20, Page 22]). We always endow G with the metric $d(x,y)$ given by the length of the shortest arc joining x,y in G (induced on G from $|\mathfrak{K}|$). We say that $I \subset G$ is a *closed interval* if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi\colon [0,1] \to I$ such that the set $\varphi((0,1))$ is open in G. Let $f\colon G \to G$ be a continuous map, and let I and J be closed intervals in the graph G. We say that I *f-covers* J (denoted by $I \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} J$), if there exists a closed interval $K \subset I$ such that $f(K) = J$.

Properties of standard one-dimensional covering relation are summarized in the following lemma, which is adapted from [3, Page 590].

Lemma 16. *Let $I, J, K, L \subset G$ be closed intervals and $f, g\colon G \to G$ continuous.*

- *(16.1) If $I \subset K$, $L \subset J$ and $I \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} J$, then $K \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} L$.*
- *(16.2) If $I \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} J$ and $J \overset{g}{\Longrightarrow} K$, then $I \overset{g\circ f}{\Longrightarrow} K$.*
- *(16.3) If $J \subset f(I)$, and $K_1, K_2 \subset J$ are closed intervals such that $K_1 \cap K_2$ is at most one point, then $I \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} K_1$, or $I \overset{f}{\Longrightarrow} K_2$.*

We made all the preparations to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *Let (G, f) be a dynamical system acting on the topological graph G and let $A \subset G$ be a weakly mixing subset of order 2. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a weakly mixing subset $D \subset G$ such that $\mathcal{H}_d(A, D) \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $U_1, \cdots, U_s$ be any non-empty open sets with diameters at most ε such that $A \in \langle U_1, \cdots, U_s \rangle$. For every $i = 1, \cdots, s$ it is possible to select and open set $V_i \subset U_i$ contained in the interior of an edge of G such that $\operatorname{int} V_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 1. *For every i there is an interval $I_i \subset V_i$, its disjoint closed subintervals K_{2i}, K_{2i+1} and an integer $n_i > 0$ such that*

- *(17.1) K_{2i}, K_{2i+1} form a strong 2-horseshoe for f^{n_i}, that is $K_p \overset{f^{n_i}}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for all $p, q \in \{2i, 2i+1\}$, and*

(17.2) both sets $\mathrm{int}(K_{2i})$*,* $\mathrm{int}(K_{2i+1})$ *as well as every connected component of the set* $I_i \setminus (K_{2i} \cup K_{2i+1})$ *intersect* A*.*

Proof of Claim. Let I_i be any closed interval contained in V_i such that $\mathrm{int}(I_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let us identify I_i with $[0,1]$. Observe that A is a weakly mixing set of order 2, and so it contains no isolated points. Thus there are points $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_6 < a_7 = 1$ in I_i such that $(a_j, a_{j+1}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $j = 0, \cdots, 6$. Denote $I_{i,j} = [a_{2j}, a_{2j+1}]$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Since A is weakly mixing of order 2, there are $k > 0$, $r > 0$ such that

$$f^r(I_{i,1}) \cap (a_1, a_2) \neq \emptyset, \quad f^k(I_{i,2}) \cap (a_1, a_2) \neq \emptyset,$$
$$f^r(I_{i,1}) \cap (a_5, a_6) \neq \emptyset, \quad f^k(I_{i,2}) \cap (a_5, a_6) \neq \emptyset.$$

If $I_{i,1} \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,1}$, $I_{i,1} \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,2}$ and $I_{i,2} \overset{f^k}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,1}$, $I_{i,2} \overset{f^k}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,2}$ then by Lemma 16 intervals $I_{i,1}$ and $I_{i,2}$ form a 2-horseshoe for f^{k+r}.

Otherwise there are $p \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{k, r\}$ such that $I_{i,p} \overset{f^j}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,0}$ and $I_{i,p} \overset{f^j}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,3}$. Next, if we consider $I_{i,0}$, then there is $l > 0$ such that $I_{i,0} \overset{f^l}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,0}$ and $I_{i,0} \overset{f^l}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,3}$, or we have the second possibility that $I_{i,0} \overset{f^l}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,1}$ and $I_{i,0} \overset{f^l}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,2}$ which implies that $I_{i,0} \overset{f^{l+j}}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,0}$ and $I_{i,0} \overset{f^{l+j}}{\Longrightarrow} I_{i,3}$ again by applying Lemma 16. We can repeat the same arguments for $I_{i,3}$ and with the help of Lemma 16 finally obtain that $I_{i,0}, I_{i,3}$ form a 2-horseshoe for some iterate of f. Indeed the claim holds. □

Now for each $i = 1, \cdots, s$ let sets K_{2i}, K_{2i+1} be provided for V_i by Claim 1, and let J_i be the connected component of $I_i \setminus (K_{2i} \cup K_{2i+1})$ such that K_{2i} and K_{2i+1} are contained in different connected components of $I_i \setminus J_i$. We prove by induction that for every $m = 1, \cdots, s$ intervals $K_2, \cdots, K_{2m+1}$ form a horseshoe for some iterate $f^h, h \in \mathbb{N}$, that is $K_p \overset{f^h}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for all $p, q \in \{2, \cdots, 2m+1\}$.

By the construction we have proved the above statement for $m = 1$, so we may assume that it holds for some $1 \leq m < s$. There is $t > 0$ such that $K_p \overset{f^t}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for $1 < p, q \leq 2m+1$ and we may also assume (replacing t and n_i by their multiply) that K_{2m+2}, K_{2m+3} form a 2-horseshoe for f^t. Since A is weakly mixing of order 2, there are $k, r > 0$ such that

$$f^r(K_2) \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset, \quad f^r(K_2) \cap J_{m+1} \neq \emptyset,$$
$$f^k(K_{2m+3}) \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset, \quad f^k(K_{2m+3}) \cap J_{m+1} \neq \emptyset.$$

From the first two conditions we see that $K_2 \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} K_2$ or $K_2 \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} K_3$ and at the same time $K_2 \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} K_{2m+2}$ or $K_2 \overset{f^r}{\Longrightarrow} K_{2m+3}$, which implies that $K_2 \overset{f^{r+t}}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for every $q = 2, \cdots, 2m+3$. By a symmetric argument, we see that $K_{2m+3} \overset{f^{k+t}}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for every $q = 2, \cdots, 2m+3$. Now applying Lemma 16 it is easy to verify that $K_p \overset{f^{r+k+3t}}{\Longrightarrow} K_q$ for every $2 \leq p, q \leq 2m+3$. This completes the induction.

Since K_i, $i = 2, \cdots, 2s+1$ form a horseshoe, rewriting arguments in the proof of [21, Theorem 9] (stated there for horseshoes in interval maps) we obtain that there is $n > 0$, an f^n-invariant closed subset $\Gamma \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} V_i$ and a topological conjugacy $\pi \colon (\Gamma, f^n) \to (\Sigma_s, \sigma)$ between dynamical systems such that $\Gamma \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, s$, where (Σ_s, σ) is the two-sided full shift over the alphabet $\{1, \cdots, s\}$.

In particular f^n is mixing on Γ, so indeed Γ is a weakly mixing subset, which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professors Wen Huang and Xiangdong Ye for their valuable discussions and important comments about the preprint version of the manuscript.

The first author was supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education from sources for science and by the Marie Curie European Reintegration Grant of the European Commission under grant agreement no. PERG08-GA-2010-272297. The second author was supported by Foundation for the Authors of National Excellent Doctoral Dissertation of China, grant no. 201018.

References

[1] E. Akin, Recurrence in topological dynamics. Furstenberg families and Ellis actions. The University Series in Mathematics. Plenum Press, New York, 1997.

[2] E. Akin and S. Kolyada, *Li-Yorke sensitivity*, Nonlinearity, **16** (2003), 1421–1433.

[3] Ll. Alsedà, M. A. del Río, and J. A. Rodríguez, *Transitivity and dense periodicity for graph maps*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **9** (2003), no. 6, 577–598.

[4] J. Auslander, *On the proximal relation in topological dynamics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **11** (1960), 890–895.

[5] J. Auslander and H. Furstenberg, *Product recurrence and distal points*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **343** (1994) 221–232.

[6] F. Blanchard, *A disjointness theorem involving topological entropy*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **121** (1993), 465–478.

[7] F. Blanchard, B. Host and A. Maass, *Topological complexity*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **20** (2000), 641–662.

[8] F. Blanchard and W. Huang, *Entropy sets, weakly mixing sets and entropy capacity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **20** (2008), 275–311.

[9] P. Dong, S. Shao and X. Ye, *Product recurrent properties, disjointness and weak disjointness*, Israel J. Math., published on-line doi:10.1007/s11856-011-0128-z

[10] T. Downarowicz, *Survey of odometers and Toeplitz flows.* Algebraic and topological dynamics, 7–37, Contemp. Math., **385**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[11] H. Furstenberg, *The structure of distal flows*, Amer. J. Math., **85** (1963), 477–515.

[12] H. Furstenberg, *Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation*, Math. Systems Theory, **1** (1967), 1–49.

[13] H. Furstenberg, Recurrence in ergodic theory and combinatorial number theory. M. B. Porter Lectures. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981.

[14] E. Glasner and X. Ye, *Local entropy theory*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **29** (2009), 321–356.

[15] K. Haddad and W. Ott, *Recurrence in pairs*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **28** (2008), 1135–1143.

[16] W. Huang and X. Ye, *Dynamical systems disjoint from any minimal system*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **357** (2005), 669–694.

[17] A. Illanes and Jr. S. B. Nadler, Hyperspaces. Fundamentals and recent advances. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **216**. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1999.

[18] H. B. Keynes and J. B. Robertson, *Eigenvalue theorems in topological transformation groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **139** (1969), 359–369.

[19] P. Kůrka, Topological and Symbolic Dynamics. Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses] **11**. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003.

[20] E. E. Moise, *Geometric topology in dimensions* 2 *and* 3, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **47**.

[21] T. K. S. Moothathu, *Syndetically proximal pairs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **379** (2011), no. 2, 656–663.

[22] P. Oprocha, *Weak mixing and product recurrence*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, **60** (2010), 1233–1257.

[23] P. Oprocha and G. H. Zhang, *On local aspects of topological weak mixing in dimension one and beyond*, Studia Math., **202** (2011), 261–288.

[24] P. Oprocha and G. H. Zhang, *On local aspects of topological weak mixing, sequence entropy and chaos*, preprint.

[25] K. E. Petersen, *Disjointness and weak mixing of minimal sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 278–280.

(P. Oprocha) AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Mathematics, al. A. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland, – and –, Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland

E-mail address: `oprocha@agh.edu.pl`

(G. H. Zhang) School of Mathematical Sciences and LMNS, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

E-mail address: `chiaths.zhang@gmail.com`